



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,010	10/30/2003	Michael E. Landry	5259-10704	7409
23492	7590	08/31/2007		
ROBERT DEBERARDINE ABBOTT LABORATORIES 100 ABBOTT PARK ROAD DEPT. 377/AP6A ABBOTT PARK, IL 60064-6008			EXAMINER SWIGER III, JAMES L	
			ART UNIT 3733	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 08/31/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Cassie.Gray@abbott.com
Patents_Abbott_Park@abbott.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,010	LANDRY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James L. Swiger	3733	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James L. Swiger. (3)_____.

(2) Michael Woods. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 23 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 35, 123, 142, and 159.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lutz (US Patent 6,183,472) and Biedermann et al. (US Patent 5,443,467).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

 8/23/07

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Representative for applicant wished to discuss proposed amendments prior to filing a formal response. Examiner reviewed the amended and newly presented claims. Representative for applicant wanted to focus on the sleeve feature and inventive, slotted design of the device. Representative stated he would likely cancel broader claims 35-38 and further prosecute the remaining new ones. Examiner suggested adding further structure and/or more specific language to claim the sleeve. Additional amendments may require further search and consideration. .